

WAS	SHINGTON, D. C. 20505	310 73	
an a star const		1/50	2/
Office of Legislative Counsel		//	,
		OLC 78-0533	-
		OUT (TES 1973	·
Honorable Les Aspin, Chairma Subcommittee on Oversight Permanent Select Committee or House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515			
Dear Mr. Chairman:			
issue of CIA relations with the Agency study of the Soviet prop production of a neutron bomb. was the creation of a public opina favorable edge in SALT and C	aganda campaign a A long-range obje nion climate whicl	against United S ctive of this car n will give the S	tates npaign
<u> </u>	•		onic
This operation made use of medium, and was supported by their own means of influencing media stimulated reaction in the study demonstrates the great reand the ease with which they can those of the U.S.	of every kind of practice a variety of front opinion. This use the uncontrolled and esources of the Son advance their over the son advance th	inted and electrorganizations, of the controlled neutral media.	with ed news The is field,
This operation made use of medium, and was supported by their own means of influencing media stimulated reaction in the study demonstrates the great reand the ease with which they can	of every kind of practice a variety of front opinion. This use the uncontrolled and esources of the Son advance their over the son advance th	inted and electrorganizations, of the controlled neutral media. viet Union in this minterests and	with ed news The is field,
This operation made use of medium, and was supported by their own means of influencing media stimulated reaction in the study demonstrates the great reand the ease with which they can	of every kind of pra variety of front opinion. This use e uncontrolled and esources of the Son advance their over Since	inted and electrorganizations, of the controlled neutral media. viet Union in this minterests and	with ed news The is field,
This operation made use of medium, and was supported by their own means of influencing media stimulated reaction in the study demonstrates the great reand the ease with which they can	of every kind of pra variety of front opinion. This use e uncontrolled and esources of the Son advance their over Since	inted and electrorganizations, of the controlled neutral media. viet Union in this minterests and erely,	with ed news The is field,
This operation made use of medium, and was supported by their own means of influencing media stimulated reaction in the study demonstrates the great reand the ease with which they can those of the U.S.	of every kind of pra variety of front opinion. This use e uncontrolled and esources of the Son advance their over Since	inted and electrorganizations, of the controlled neutral media. viet Union in this minterests and erely,	with ed news The is field,

2 September 1977

25X1

25X1

25X1

SOVIET PROPAGANDA: THE NEUTRON BOMB

SUMMARY: The Soviet Union during July and August 1977 mounted a worldwide campaign against U.S. production of the neutron bomb. The Soviets pursued this issue in every media channel and wherever it was possible to stimulate adverse public discussion. These efforts were directed toward pressuring the U.S. to back away from producing the bomb as well as accumulating political capital for Soviet use at future SALT and CSCE talks. As the campaign peaked at the end of August, it was apparent that the Soviet Union maintains an impressive capability to promote international propaganda on issues it considers important.

BACKGROUND		
the Soviet Union was preparing against the United States. The at the neutron bomb, obstruction of the of Israel and a self-serving policy	tack was to focus on e Geneva Conference.	four themes:
		. 25)
DATA BASE	The State	
3 Headquarters queried Field appearance of the above themes in lo	ocal media. Replies	the
from Stations. Also factored in State telegrams from 19 Posts and in	to the results were N	epartment of
from Stations. Also factored in	to the results were N	epartment of
from Stations. Also factored in	to the results were D	epartment of

12

The data so overwhelmingly centered on the neutron bomb issue that the analysis was confined to this subject. •

## ANALYSIS

5. The information in this study points to a massive, well-planned propaganda operation by the Soviets with both short and long-range objectives.

25X1

What follows is a characterization of the major aspects of the Soviet campaign against the United States and the neutron bomb.

6. Initiative From Moscow. FBIS statistics in the weekly "Trends in Communist Media" suggest that the earliest sustained propaganda on the neutron bomb came from Moscow and that the Soviets escalated this attack in later weeks to support the propaganda campaign as it got underway elsewhere. Of the 3000+ items of Soviet commentary noted each, week by FBIS, the amount devoted to the neutron bomb issue rose from insignificant during 4-10 July, to dominate Soviet commentary during the three weeks of 25 July to 14 August. The attention given the neutron bomb then began to fade.

Period	Total Items	Neutron Bomb Issue
4-10 July 11-17 July 18-24 July 25-31 July 1-7 August 8-14 August 15-21 August	3,247 3,123 3,163 3,118 3,091 3,445	2% 5% 13% 13% 11%

No other topic during the 25 July to 14 August period received so much attention. The campaign was sustained not only by volume but with spaced, dramatic events. On 30 July, TASS for the first time since December 1974 issued a statement on U.S. foreign policy,

SECRET

eclassified	in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/11/01 : CIA-RDP01-01773R0004006	20009-4
	denouncing the neutron bomb. During the week of 1-7 August,	
7	Significant attention was directed toward support of the "Week"	
	of Action" organized for 6-13 August by the World Peace Council	
	front group. To keep up steam, Pravda on 9 August published an	•
	appeal by 28 communist parties against production of the neutron	25
, · · ·		<b>,</b> 20.
	bomb was the prime Soviet propaganda target.	•
•	7. Echoes in Eastern Europe.	25X
	<b>•</b>	25
• .	which took off in the latter weeks of July, was massive, well-	. •
	organized and faithfully mirrored the Soviet effort. The campaign	•
	employed all channels of public communication: press, radio, tele-	
		•
	comments:	
V		
		25X
,		
		•
•		
	$\cdot  $	
-	Thic Fact Eumanaan anaanhamu in ann an th	
· -	This East European cacophony is seen as the second step in a	
	campaign to develop worldwide censure of the neutron bomb in general and to stimulate adverse comment in Western Europe in particular.	
	and to be marace adverse comment in western Europe in particular.	
	8. Front Group Action. In pronouncing an international "Week	
	of Action" during 6-13 August, the communist-dominated World Peace	
	Council established a focal point for action against the neutron	
	bomb. The Soviets' own Peace Committee used the occasion to pass	
	a resolution stating the development of the neutron bomb violates	
	the Helsinki CSCE agreement and threatens SALT negotiations.	
	Others followed:	
•	- Peace Councils in various East European states held protest	
	meetings and passed resolutions.	
	- in Istanbul, a Peace Committee demonstrated in front of the	•
•	U.S. Consulate General.	

SECRE

- in Stuttgart, Frankfurt and Dusseldorf, front groups delivered notes to the Consulates General. In Bonn, two Soviet journalists were observed at a demonstration at the American Embassy.
- a front group in Lima, Peru, sent a protest to the United Nations.
- in Tanzania, a WPC delegation sought propaganda assistance from President Nyerere.
- other major international front groups such as the International Institute for Peace and the World Federation of Trade Unions participated in the "Week of Action."

The purpose of the front group activity was to keep protest momentum going and to draw non-communists into the campaign, particularly in Western Europe. To the extent that this could be accomplished, what had begun as largely a Soviet effort could now appear as a general public reaction to the horrors of the neutron bomb.

9. Western Europe. There were two types of adverse public attention for the neutron bomb which the Soviets could hope to generate in Western Europe and in fact did. The first might be called "hack comment" and came from front groups and publications of communist parties.

25X1

The second type of press situated politically in the center or on the left. A segment of this press could be counted on to salivate editorially almost on command once the neutron bomb received such enormous attention in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe. Others in this group could be expected to react negatively to the bomb issue for various reasons: a special status with the Soviet Union, or an honest dislike of the neutron bomb.

10. For the Soviets, the real propaganda paydirt lay in editorial treatment given the neutron bomb by this second group, a performance judged by NATO Secretary General Luns in a 26 August speech as consisting of half-truths, untruths and ignorance. Given the emotional themes which were raised in the neutron bomb debate—saving buildings rather than people; the hypocrisy of Americans advocating human rights in face of the bomb production; the endangering of detente—it was an old-fashion editorial binge which many papers would not deny themselves. And beyond the non-communist, anti-bomb press,

SECRET

there was the essentially objective sector of the media which felt an obligation to carry both sides of the argument. For example, the "International Herald Tribune" of 23 August carried a signed article by Soviet Nobel Laureat Nikolai Semionov parroting the Soviet line. The IHT later carried a rebuttal by Congressman Robert Carr but the Soviets could care less. For use in editorial replay and broadcast journalism, the Semionov piece in IHT was reported it impossible to distinguish Thus unconsciously priceless. left from right on the bomb issue while made the point of the Soviet propaganda success by commenting that "in advanced countries" cited...will appear in local media, totally independent of Soviet influence." As a Soviet propagandist might comment, "Right on, comrade!"

existence of a worldwide Soviet effort to stop development of the neutron bomb, it was confirmed by efforts of the Soviet delegation at the Pugwash meeting in Munich during the latter days of August. There the Soviets pursued one theme: the dangers of the neutron bomb and the consequent need for mobilizing world opinion and pressure against the U.S. This effort was perhaps the capstone to a campaign which saw the same propaganda line appear in farscattered media:

SECRE

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

## CONCLUSIONS

- 12. Operational Mechanics. The volume of propaganda against the neutron bomb, the timing and programmed developments within that outburst, and the re-occurence of identical themes suggest only one possibility: an intricate Soviet propaganda campaign involving heavy Moscow media play, an East European cacophony, international front group action, direct media placement where possible in non-communist areas and the stimulation in the West of critical media comment. In this, the Soviets were successful.
- 13. Soviet Objectives. The political objectives of the Soviet Union in this campaign are clear: stopping production of the neutron bomb and gaining room for maneuvering at SALT and CSCE talks. A State telegram from Berlin commented: "In the GDR the groundwork is clearly being laid to support whatever tactics the Soviet Union and its allies may choose to employ at Belgrade." A Sofia telegram quotes a Bulgarian official as placing the Soviet propaganda campaign squarely in the context of Soviet SALT maneuvering.
- 14. Study Objectives. At the time Field Stations were queried on neutron bomb propaganda, the extent of the Soviet effort was not apparent. One can see now the campaign was meant to be worldwide and intense from the outset. The campaign indicates the Soviets retain a sophisticated capability to mount propaganda operations. (A parallel effort against South African nuclear arms testing provides another indicator of this capability.)

25X1



		U		DATE OF REQUEST	S
ROM	7 FEB 1978	<u> </u>		SUSPENSE DATE	S
SUBJECT:		<u>/</u>	····		
NOTES					
	effort against the	neutron bom	port on the Sov b to the SSCI b	riet propaganda secause of the	
	concern of that Co No copy was sent t Aspin Subcommittee this same report t	mmittee o HPSCI Beca , I recommen o Aspin. I	use of the inte d you sign this believe it help	erest in the sletter forwards	S ing
	demonstrate the me	dia capabili	ty of the Sovie	ets.	
		Liker	ined 1	<del></del>	
		1	cred CAROL	b/110.	
	WITH (list names as well as o	ffices)		771	
NAME	Don Gregg		OFFICE	DATE 6 Fel	7S7
NAME		·	055105		~ <del>~</del>
			OFFICE	DATE	
NAME			OFFICE	DATE	
ACTION REQUIRE	D BY GLC				
	Signature.				
	•	•			
İ					